Recruitment and Retention

David Wendler
Department of Bioethics
NIH Clinical Center

Disclaimer

The opinions expressed are my own.
They do not represent the position or
policy of the National Institutes of Health,
Public Health Service, or Department of
Health and Human Services.

Three Aspects

- Selection: determining who is eligible
- **Recruitment:** inviting eligible individuals
- c. Retention: retaining enrolled subjects

I will focus on recruitment and retention, not selection (nor post-trial considerations)

Need to Recruit and Retain

To be ethical, clinical trials must collect socially valuable data.

- To collect socially valuable data, clinical trials must have sufficient completers.
- Hence, having sufficient completers is ethically important!

Problematic Trials

- Over 70% of trials are delayed due to problems with enrollment and many never get enough completers.
- These trials raise ethical concerns that risks to participants are not justified.

Need to Recruit and Retain

 Active recruiting and retention is ethically critical, but overly aggressive recruiting and retention is ethically problematic.

Need guidance to find the right balance.

Goals

Recruitment and Retention should:

- 1. Distribute burdens and benefits fairly
- 2. Ensure social value of research
- 3. Enhance scientific validity
- 4. Minimize risks to subjects
- 5. Enhance benefits to subjects
- 6. Protect the vulnerable

SUBJECT RECRUITMENT

Subject recruitment involves active attempts to attract specific individuals from the pool of eligible subjects.

Choosing a Site

 Where research is conducted can have a significant impact on who enrolls.

Low inclusion of racial minorities in some studies likely traces more to study site than widely discussed concerns regarding trust in researchers.

Community vs. Individual Benefit

Many commentators argue that communities (especially poor or vulnerable ones) should benefit from the clinical trials they host.

Is it also important for individual (vulnerable) subjects to benefit?

Methods of Recruitment

Inviting one's own patients

Soliciting referrals from colleagues

Targeted recruitment

Advertising

Recruitment for good reasons

 Do not focus recruitment on individuals who are (or appear to be) vulnerable

Ensure subjects are recruited for reasons of science, not compromised (nor privileged) position.

Learning Health Care

Recruitment is difficult

 Learning health care systems conduct research in the course of providing care

Assuming low net risks, is it acceptable to enroll patients at a clinic or hospital, with or without notification?

Research Cohorts

Alternatively, some have proposed to invite patients to consent to being entered into a pool of potential subjects.

Those who are eligible for a trial will be enrolled, with or without notification.

Incentives to Enroll Subjects

Investigators are under considerable pressure to recruit subjects, sometimes receiving financial incentives.

US Inspector General 2000

 Physicians receive payments for referring their patients to trials.

Concerns about Incentives

Do incentives to recruit and refer patients pose a conflict of interest?

To what extent might use of incentives encourage investigators to refer and enroll riskier/inappropriate subjects?

Role of Advertising

Advertising plays an increasingly important role in recruiting research participants.

However, there is significant concern about the ethics of advertising and not much guidance.

FDA Guidance

Advertising is "the start of the informed consent and subject selection process."

IRBs should determine Ads are: not unduly coercive; do not promise a cure; use appropriate font size and visual effects; explain that test articles are investigational; do not emphasize payment or the amount

http://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm126428.htm

What is Good Advertising?

- May benefits be advertised? Must risks?
- Some commentators seem to suggest that good advertising is bad because it is too appealing and bad advertising is bad because it is a waste of resources.

Proposed T.V. Ad

Thumping music, swirling tie-dye colors: "Attention alcohol users...you are a candidate for a new research study.

 We are enrolling men and women, 18-40, to study how alcohol affects the brain.

Effect of Ads

Does advertising affect which groups enroll?

Does advertising affect understanding?

Does advertising affect subject motivations (does it matter?)

Confidentiality/Assumptions

Advertisement about heroin addiction and research.

 Discusses woman who was addicted and through research was able to stop using.

The Ad included a picture of a smiling woman.

Payment

What role should payment play in recruiting research subjects?

- To what extent is it acceptable to advertise payment?
- What does "do not emphasize" payment mean in practice?

Proposed Bar Coaster

Research Subjects Wanted

Earn \$50-\$1295

Call 555-5555

Dave's Research Institute

 Many commentators worry that emphasizing payment and high payments may undermine subjects' understanding.

Empirical studies find that individuals who are focused on payment are more likely to understand the risks of research.

Many commentators worry that payment may be coercive.

 Coercion involves threatening to make an individual worse off (your money or your life)

Offers of payment are not coercive.

 Other commentators worry that payment may represent an undue inducement.

The fact that an individual enrolls when they would have declined otherwise does not make payment an inducement undue.

Undue inducement involves the possibility that an individual will make a decision that is significantly against their own interests.

If a study meets all the conditions on ethical research, undue inducement is typically not a concern.

Possible Exception

Valuable study that poses high net risks to subjects.

Can high payment make it ethical? Is it an undue inducement? Both?

New Worry

Paying subjects may be associated with inaccurate reporting of their medical history and side effects.

Include objective measures in the study?

Don't disclose eligibility criteria?

Other Challenges

Data suggest that many problems recruiting subjects trace to practical concerns: awareness of studies, transportation, parking, child care.

Who addresses these concerns?

Difficult to Reach Participants

 Given all the challenges, recruitment efforts may focus on those who are most easy to identify and recruit.

However, more difficult to recruit individuals may differ in scientifically relevant ways.

SUBJECT RETENTION

Subject retention involves attempts to keep enrolled participants enrolled for the duration of the study.

Retention of Subjects

- To collect valid data, recruited subjects need to be retained.
- Data suggest that enrolled subjects can experience problems in their personal lives as a result of their participation in clinical research.

Lazovski J, et al. *JERHRE* 2009; 4:89-97.

Subjects versus Participants

How do we turn research subjects into research participants? Do research WITH individuals NOT on them.

Can we encourage retention while being ethically sensitive to the demands and burdens on participants?

Obligations

Some argue individuals have an obligation to participate in research.

Schaefer et al JAMA 2009; 302: 67-72

The hope is that this view will increase enrollment and retention. But others worry it may decrease participation.

Encouragement?

Research participants make important contributions to research.

How do we emphasize this fact and encourage retention without undermining voluntariness?

Results from NIH Participants

Yes: they tell me that I can withdraw.

But: they never explain why I shouldn't!

Treatment and Treats

How people are treated affects their willingness to contribute to joint activities.

- Explain importance of contribution?
- Add perks, like good meals?
- Throw parties?

Summary

Recruitment and retention are vital to ethical clinical research.

The challenges they raise have not received the attention they deserve.

The 6 goals (and good judgment!) can help to address these ethical challenges.